Position on Indoor Tanning

Support:
- Age restrictions on the use of indoor tanning facilities to eighteen years or older
- Required posting and dissemination of scientific information regarding the health risks of indoor tanning to customers

Oppose:
- Unregulated access to indoor tanning facilities
- Dissemination of false or misleading information regarding the safety and medical benefits of indoor tanning
- Exemptions for physician prescribed indoor tanning.

Melanoma, the most deadly form of skin cancer, has been linked to indoor tanning. As a common cause of melanoma, the deadliest form of skin cancer, consumers should be protected from the sea of misinformation about this dangerous activity. A scientific paper entitled Recent Tanning Bed Use: A Risk Factor for Melanoma stated that sun or UV radiation is one of the primary causal factors in the development of melanoma and that indoor tanning increases one’s risk of melanoma.1 Another study found that individuals who have used a tanning bed 10 or more times in their lives have a 34% increased risk of developing melanoma compared to those who have never used tanning beds.2

Skin cancer treatment is a costly drain on the American economy. As the incidence of skin cancer continues to rise, due in part to indoor tanning beds, so too does the cost to the American health care system to treat patients with skin cancer. A 2014 study estimated that more than 400,000 cases of skin cancer may be related to indoor tanning in the United States each year, causing 245,000 basal cell carcinomas, 168,000 squamous cell carcinomas and 6,200 melanomas.3 The annual cost of treating skin cancers in the U.S. is estimated at $8.1 billion – about $4.8 billion for nonmelanoma skin cancers and $3.3 billion for melanoma.4 If continued unabated, treatment of skin cancer will increase the cost burden on an already heavily burdened American health care system.

Indoor Tanning is ranked within the World Health Organization’s highest cancer-risk category. In 2009, the International Agency for Research on Cancer, the cancer division of the World Health Organization, classified tanning beds as “carcinogenic to humans” — the agency’s highest cancer-risk category, which also includes asbestos, cigarette smoke, plutonium, radon gas, and radium. Total doses of ultraviolet rays from a tanning bed may be as much as five times more than natural sunlight. This means that 20 minutes spent in a tanning salon may be equal to 2-3 hours in the noontime sun, according to a 2008 scientific article from Dermatologic Surgery.5

Organization and the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection have recommended that indoor tanning be restricted to only those ages eighteen and older. Stricter regulation of indoor tanning is needed to properly educate consumers on the significant medical risks and protect teenagers from carcinogenic radiation.

**Indoor tanning is a threat to the health and safety of our youth with no signs of slowing down.** A 2006 study of the 100 most populous cities in the United States found that there was an average of 42 tanning salons per city—exceeding the number of Starbucks or McDonald’s. The same study demonstrated that 76% of teens lived within two miles of a tanning salon. Not only are minors more susceptible to misinformation about indoor tanning, minors are increasing their use of indoor tanning devices and consequently, increasing their incidence of melanoma. Furthermore, the studies concluded that young women are six to seven times more likely to develop melanoma than young men, attributing that difference primarily to the prevalence of indoor tanning amongst young women.

**Indoor tanning does not constitute phototherapy.** Contrary to claims by indoor tanning advocates, indoor tanning devices found in tanning salons do not constitute medical treatments. There are legitimate uses of UV devices to treat skin conditions such as psoriasis and eczema. However, these types of UV devices, found in physician offices, are classified differently by the Food and Drug Administration, and thus more strictly regulated.

**Prescribing indoor tanning as a medical treatment puts patients at risk.** Many state legislatures proposing restrictions on minors’ access to indoor tanning devices have considered provisions to allow physicians to prescribe indoor tanning. The ASDSA opposes such exemptions, as they give legitimacy to misleading claims that indoor tanning devices offer a legitimate health and medical benefit to consumers.

**The Federal Trade Commission has ruled against claiming health benefits for indoor tanning.** Members of the indoor tanning industry have tried repeatedly to discredit the medical research linking indoor tanning to cancer, even distributing propaganda purporting health benefits, including the prevention of lung, kidney, and liver cancers through use of UV devices. Such statements, however, are based on junk science at best and willful misrepresentation at worst. In a 2010 ruling, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) found that such claims constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices, and that the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce is in violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

Additionally, a study which examines the link between indoor tanning and vitamin D synthesis found that most tanning beds emit UVA radiation, which is relatively ineffective in stimulating vitamin D synthesis. Further, the study concludes that any vitamin D increase that may come from indoor tanning does not outweigh the risk of skin cancer and indoor tanning is not recommendable as a way to achieve optimal vitamin D levels.
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Due to the dangers associated with indoor tanning, the following states have banned minors from using a tanning device: California, Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Texas, Vermont and Washington, D.C.

Tanning beds are breeding grounds for dangerous bacteria. Although most states have some level of regulation on the books for tanning beds, most do not address sanitation in any meaningful way. Even among those that do, such as New York, such regulations are not effectively enforced. A recent study measured the presence of bacteria capable of causing serious skin infections in top ten rated tanning salons in New York City. Bacteria were found on the tanning beds tested in all ten salons, with most salons registering three or more different types of dangerous bacteria. Other studies addressing adherence to safety regulations give credence to these results as representing the norm among tanning salons.11
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